GRANT NO. 3 – ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

		Total Grant Or Appropriation	Actual expenditure	Saving (-) Excess (+)
				(In thousand of rupees)
REVENUE				
<u>Charged –</u>		271,18,00	183,88,05	<u>-87,29,95</u>
Amount surrendered during the year			<u>-86,08,00</u>	
Voted - Original Supplementary	1013,88,00) 6,29,00)	1020,17,00	845,85,02	-174,31,98
Amount surrendered during the year				-27,69,00
CAPITAL				
Voted - Original Supplementary	1,00,00) 2,00,00)	3,00,00	2,98,08	-1,92
Amount surrendered during the year			NIL	

Notes and Comments

In the **Charged portion of Revenue Section** of the grant, the overall saving of $\underline{8729.95}$ lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of $\underline{8727118.00}$ lakhs) constituted 32.19% of the sanctioned appropriation. Provision of $\underline{810.00}$ lakh remained wholly unutilized under one sub-head.

Saving / Excess occurred mainly under the following Major Head: –

(In lakh of rupees)

Major Head "2014" (High Court)

Administration of Justice

O. <u>27108.00</u>)

<u>R.</u> -8608.00) 18500.00 18388.05 -111.95

Savings occurred more than ₹1.00 crore mainly under the following sub heads: –

1. Under Major Head "2014" – Administration of Justice – High Court – (i) Direction and Administration – Savings of ₹8568.25 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹26658.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies, less bills/claims, less number of domestic & overseas tours and non receipt of bills anticipated.

(ii) Computerisation of High Court – Savings of $\underbrace{100.27}$ lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of $\underbrace{200.00}$ lakhs) was mainly due to slow progress of scheme.

Further savings of $\underbrace{51.43}$ lakes remained under one sub head which exceeds $\underbrace{50.00}$ lakes but less than $\underbrace{1.00}$ Cr.

In the **Voted portion of Revenue Section** of the grant, the overall saving of ₹17431.98 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹102017.00 lakhs inclusive of supplementary provision of ₹629.00 lakhs) constituted 17.08% of the total sanctioned provision. Provision of ₹2.00 lakh remained wholly unutilized under one sub-head.

Saving / Excess occurred mainly under the following Major Heads: –

(In lakh of rupees)

Major Head "2014" (High Court) Administration of Justice			(
O. 858.00)			
R223.00)	635.00	517.54	-117.46
(Civil and Session Court))		
O. 89683.00)			
S. 200.00)			
R1789.06)	88093.94	74845.40	-13248.54
(Directorate Of Prosecut	ion)		
O. 3233.00)			
R474.00)	2759.00	2719.53	-39.47
(Law Department)			
O. 5113.00)			
S. 103.00)			
R529.00)	4687.00	4037.22	-649.78
Major Head "2052"			
Secretariat General Service	es		
O. 452.00)			
S. 326.00)			
R. 48.00)	826.00	583.96	-242.04
Major Head "2015"			
(Election Office)			
Election			
O. 1496.00)			
R. 106.00)	1602.00	1249.70	-352.30

Savings occurred more than ₹1.00 crore mainly under the following sub heads: –

1. Under Major Head "2014" – Administration of Justice – (a) High Court – Delhi Judicial Academy – Direction and Administration – Saving of ₹340.46 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹858.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies, less claims/bills, tendering process not finalised & less number of domestic tours.

- (b) Civil and Session Courts (i) Session Court Saving of ₹12359.91 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹82013.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies, less claims, approval of the Competent Authority not received for honouring bills on account of revision of scale.
- (ii) Computerisation of District and Session Court -- Savings of ₹344.98 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹500.00 lakhs inclusive of supplementary provision of ₹200.00 lakhs) was mainly due to purchase proposals of computers & up-gradation of e-court not materialised.
- (c) Criminal Courts Judicial Magistrate's Courts saving of ₹2332.71 lakhs (against the sanction provision of ₹7370.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies, purchase proposals not finalised & anticipated bills not received.
- (d) Legal Advisors and Counsels (i) Prosecution Wing saving of ₹513.47 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹3233.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies & less claims/bills.
- (ii) Law Officers saving of ₹624.24 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹1250.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies & retainership of advocates on record not finalised for payment.
- (iii) Delhi Legal Services Authority saving of ₹315.27 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹1461.00 lakhs) was mainly due to vacancies & less work.
- (iv) GIA to Delhi Dispute Resolution Society saving of ₹108.50 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹401.00 lakhs inclusive of supplementary provision of ₹1.00 lakhs) was mainly due to proposals for regularisation of DDRS staff not finalised.
- (e) Family Courts Establishment of family Courts saving of ₹160.52 lakhs (against the sanctioned provision of ₹1302.00 lakhs inclusive of supplementary provision of ₹102.00 lakhs) was mainly due to purchase proposals & the recruitment to vacant posts not materialized.
- 2. Under Major Head "2052" Secretariat General Services Secretariat -- Law Department Saving of ₹194.04 lakhs (against the sanction provision of ₹778.00 lakhs inclusive of supplementary provision of ₹326.00 lakhs) was mainly due to late receipt of funds & also due to amnesty scheme 2016-17 under which the dues were assessed less by the SDMC.
- 3. Under Major Head "2015" Election Preparation and Printing of Electoral Rolls Saving of ₹111.42 lakhs (against the sanction provision of ₹150.00 lakhs) was mainly due to less bills.

Further saving of ₹70.10 lakhs remained under one sub-head which exceeds ₹50.00 lakhs but less than ₹1.00 crore.

The above savings were partly off-set by an excess of ₹80.22 under one sub head which exceeds ₹50.00 lakhs but less than ₹1.00 crore.